


SAS² Training

Keewaywin, 23-25 Feb. 2010




Getting to know one another



The Social Weaver: Introduction

We focused on three sections: **EXPLORING PROBLEMS, KNOWING THE ACTORS, AND ASSESSING OPTIONS**



SAS²
The Social Weaver

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

3 SYSTEMS THAT LEARN

- Creating an action-learning system
 - * Planning, Inquiry, Evaluation (P.I.E.) 5
 - * A.R.T. (Action, Research, Training) 6
- Developing a planning strategy
 - Order and Chaos 7
 - * Process Management 8
 - * Process Manager 9
- Putting it all together
 - * Process Design 11
 - * Skillful Means 15
 - * Combas 21

4 FACT-FINDING AND LISTENING

- Seeking evidence and consensus
 - * Validation 26
- Engaging people
 - * Participation 27
 - * Active Listening 29
- Measuring and mobilizing evidence
 - * Free List and Pile Sort 33
 - * Ranking 35
 - * Rating 37
 - * Scoring Tips 39
 - * The Socratic Wheel 41
 - Information G.A.S. (Gathering, Analysis, Sharing) 43

5 EXPLORING PROBLEMS

- Telling the story
 - * Timeline 51
 - * Previous Responses 53
 - * Resource Mapping 55
- Getting to the root of a problem
 - * Problem Tree 57
 - * Gaps and Conflicts 59
 - * Force Field 61

6 KNOWING THE ACTORS

- Identifying the stakeholders
 - * Nominal Identification 65
 - * Personification 66
 - * Stakeholder Sampling 67
- Understanding the stakeholder structure
 - * Stakeholder Rainbow 69
 - * Social Analysis C.L.I.P. 71
 - * Power 75
 - * Interests 77
 - * Legitimacy 79
- Managing expectations
 - * Positions and Interests 81
 - * Negotiation Fair 83


5 ASSESSING OPTIONS

- Blue Sky Thinking
 - Ideal Scenario 87
 - Sabotage 89
 - Projections 91
- Knowing what matters
 - Values, Interests, Positions (V.I.P.) 93
 - Lessons and Values 95
 - Disagreements and Misunderstandings 97
 - Levels of Support 99
- Predicting the future
 - Impact and Feasibility 100
 - Results and Risks 101
 - What If 103
 - Critical Path 104

6 UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS

- Reconstructing models of reality
 - DOMAIN ANALYSIS 107
 - * Ecological Domain 117
 - * Activity Domain 118
 - * Problem Domain 119
 - Option Domain 120
 - Social Domain 121
- Systems Thinking
 - SYSTEM DYNAMICS 123
 - * Ecological Dynamics 129
 - * Causal Dynamics 131
 - * Activity Dynamics 134
 - * Skill Dynamics 135
 - * Network Dynamics 136
 - * Social Dynamics 137
 - Value Dynamics 138

LEGEND: * Design + Measuring * Problems * Actors □ Options



DAY 1 - TOOLS USED

Stakeholder rainbow




Impact and feasibility



Timeline


Impact and feasibility

We ranked things we need to do: how doable and how useful are they? "Land use planning, explaining models" is **USEFUL** and somewhat **DOABLE**. So let's focus on this today.





Stakeholder Rainbow

We organized all the "interested actors" along the rainbow, showing how they were affected and also how influential they are.




Stakeholder rainbow

What can we do to make sure youth get involved and have more influence?



Timeline

We looked at every step we need to cover starting from NOW



Evening presentations



Knowledge and cultural transmission in Kenyan participatory mapping

by CTA PLUS
5 days ago




More

Add

Show me

CTA's videos

See all



CTA notre invité de la sema...

by CTA
2 days ago



126. Knowledge and cultural transmission in Kenyan part...

by CTA
5 days ago



125. Message de condoléances du CTA au peuple haïtien

by CTA
7 days ago



124. Weblog de Bruxelles du CTA notre invité de la sema...



Evening presentations

- ▶ HOME
- ▶ ABOUT
- ▶ RESOURCES
- ▶ CONTACT

LOG IN

username:

password:

WELCOME



This is the Geo Portal for Eeyou Istchee.

Compatibility Issues
The Interactive Maps will require Internet Explorer 6 or above to run. If you do not have IE please update your browser.



Non Registered Users
Some areas do not require a log-in. Interactive maps available to the public are:

- General Map
- Community Maps
- Tourism Map

If you wish to apply for a guest user account, click

GENERAL MAPS



This section provides access to a variety of geospatial layers available for Eeyou Istchee including thematic information, topographic maps and satellite images. It also provides access to important documents relevant to the geospatial layers shown on the map.

[Click here to view this section](#)

CTA MAPS



This section provides access to the CTA Cabins Map Application and the Trapline Atlas. You must be a registered user with the Cree Trappers Association to access these maps. You can also use this section to access the cabins insurance form and the trapline transfer form.

[Click here to view this section](#)

COTA MAPS





This section provides access to the Outfitting Map and database, Tourism Map and Community Maps. It also facilitates access to new outfitting applications, license renewal and the to new non-Cree applications subject to the right of first refusal.

[Click here to view this section](#)

METADATA

DAY 2 - TOOLS USED


- Visioning
- Free List and Pile Sort
- Sabotage
- Wheel
- Force field (SWOT)
- Negotiation Fair



Visioning


We made drawings on our dreams for an ideal future about land and the resources





SABOTAGE

We came up with ways to make sure the planning process would fail!



FREE LIST & PILE SORT

Next we grouped the cards into themes...

WHEEL

WE agreed on the importance of each criterion and wrote it on a spoke. Next we joined the points on the spoke and made a diamond.







Force field

We listed those things that PREVENT us from doing our planning, we grouped them and gave them a NAME. We then did the same with what we can do to OVERCOME the barriers; we placed them on a line.



Force Field

We then asked: SO WHAT? We discussed actions that we could take to reduce the barriers plus other actions to make things happen. Examples: working more closely with government to increase trust; turning some of the SUPPORT into more coordinated action.



Negotiation fair

...we wrote "letters" on CARDS to our workshop participants.
One one side we ASKED for things we need. On the other side we OFFERED what we could provide.



DAY 3 - Tool used



Agreements and misunderstandings

We invited visitors from the MRN to join in on the exercise.



AGREEMENTS and MISUNDERSTANDINGS

We agreed on six criteria about consultations. We then broke into two groups (First Nation and MNR) and ranked the six criteria in order of importance. Next, each group guessed the other group's ranking... Then we compared them.



AGREEMENTS and MISUNDERSTANDINGS

Each group first listed their ranking ("us") and then guessed the ranking by the other group ("them").

MNR

1-700	us	them
TREATY RIGHTS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	1	1
TRUST AGREEMENTS	3	2
PARTICIPATION	2	3
RAINBOW DIALOGUE	5	4 5
TIME LINE RESULTS	4	5 6
CONFLICT RESOLUTION	6	4



Communities

	US	THEM
Participation	1	4
Trust	X	5
TREATY RIGHTS	2	6
RainBow	3	2
TIME LINE	6	1
CONFLICT RESOLUTION Agreement	5	3

AGREEMENTS and MISUNDERSTANDINGS

Each participant held a card with the ranked number...
and we compared them.



AGREEMENTS and MISUNDERSTANDINGS

- Agreement generally good (55%). Timeline is more important to MNR. Rainbow dialogue is more important to communities.
- MNR's prediction of community priorities is 44% wrong. MNR underestimates the importance of participation and rainbow dialogue to communities. It overestimates the importance of authenticity (trust) to communities.
- Communities failed to predict MNR priorities (by 100%). Why? It's because "what MNR says is not what MNR does".

